Theriogenology 106 (2018) 259–264

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Theriogenology

journal homepage: www.theriojournal.com



Attachment of *Coxiella burnetii* to the *zona pellucida* of *in vitro* produced goat embryos

J.L. Pellerin [a, b, *], A. Alsaleh [a, b], P. Mermillod [c], J.M.G. Souza-Fabjan [c], A. Rodolakis [c], E. Rousset [d], L. Dubreil [a, e], J.F. Bruyas [a, b], C. Roux [a, b], F. Fieni [a, b]

[a] *LUNAM Université, Oniris, Nantes-Atlantic National College of Veterinary Medicine, Food Science and Engineering, CS 44706, Nantes, F-44307, France*
[b] *UPSP 5301 DGER, France, 44307 Nantes Cedex 03, France*
[c] *INRA Animal Reproducion and Animal Infectiology, Public Health Unit 311, 37380, Nouzilly, France*
[d] *Animal Q Fever Unit, Anses Sophia-Antipolis, Les Templiers, 105 Route des Chappes, CS 20 111, 06902, Sophia Antipolis Cedex, France*
[e] *INRA UMR U703, Animal Pathophysiology and Biotherapy for Muscle and Nervous System Diseases, Nantes F-44307, France*

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history:
Received 19 April 2017
Received in revised form
23 October 2017
Accepted 23 October 2017
Available online 26 October 2017

Keywords:
Coxiella burnetii
Goats
In-vitro-produced embryos
Conventional PCR
Real-time PCR
Confocal microscopy

A B S T R A C T

Previous work demonstrated that after infection of *in vivo* derived caprine embryos, *Coxiella burnetti* (*C. burnetii*) showed a strong tendency to adhere to the *zona pellicida* (ZP). To investigate the risk of *C. burnetii* transmission via embryo transfer of *in vitro*-produced goat embryos the aim of this study was, (i) to evaluate the ability of *C. burnetii* to adhere to the intact *zona pellicida* of *in vitro*-produced goat embryos and to determine by confocal microscopy the location of the bacteria, (ii) to test the efficacy of IETS recommended rules for the washing of bovine embryos to eliminate *C. burnetii.* One hundred ZP-intact caprine embryos, produced *in vitro*, at the 8 to 16 cell stage, were randomly divided into 11 batches of eight to nine embryos. Nine batches were incubated for 18 h with 10^9 *Coxiella*/ml of CbB1 strain (IASP, INRA Tours). The embryos then were recovered and washed in batches in 10 successive baths following the IETS guidelines. In parallel, two batches of embryos were subjected to similar procedures but without exposure to *C. burnetii*, to serve as the control group. One of the nine batches of infected embryos and one of the two non-infected control batches were separated to perform immunolabeling to locate the bacteria.

C. burnetii DNA was detected by C-PCR in all eight batches of infected embryos after 10 successive washings. However, bacterial DNA was not detected in the embryo control batch. The first five washing media of the infected group were consistently found to be positive and *Coxiella* DNA was detected in the wash bath up to the 10th wash for two batches.

After immunolabeling, the observation of embryos under confocal microscopy allowed C. *burnetti* to be found on the external part of the *zona pellucida* without deep penetration.

This study clearly demonstrates that *C. burnetii*, after *in vitro* infection at 10^9 *Coxiella*/ml, stick strongly to the external part of the *zona pellucida* of *in vitro* produced caprine embryos without deap penetration and that the 10 washings protocol recommended by IETS to eliminate the pathogenic agents of bovine embryos is unable to eliminate these bacteria from *in vitro*-produced goat embryo.

© 2017 Published by Elsevier Inc.

1. Introduction

Coxiella burnetii (C. burnetii) is an obligate intracellular gram-negative bacterium from the *Coxiellaceae* family of the Gamma subdivision of *Proteobacteria* [1]. It is responsible for Q fever, a zoonosis with worldwide distribution that affects a wide range of domestic and wild mammals, birds, reptiles, fish and arthropods, as well as humans [2,3]. Human infection is manifested by a febrile syndrome, pneumonia or hepatitis and is serious for pregnant women in whom it can cause miscarriage [3].

Goats, sheep and cattle are the most frequently infected species and represent the major sources of human infections [4,5]. Infection in these species is usually subclinical. However, infection in

* Corresponding author. LUNAM Université, Oniris, Nantes-Atlantic National College of Veterinary Medicine, Food Science and Engineering, CS 44706, Nantes, F-44307, France.
E-mail address: jean-louis.pellerin@oniris-nantes.fr (J.L. Pellerin).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2017.10.033
0093-691X/© 2017 Published by Elsevier Inc.

sheep, goats and occasionally cattle can cause abortion in late gestation with stillbirths, premature deliveries and weak newborn animals [6,7]. Following infection, animals shed *Coxiella burnetii* into the environment in large quantities through the products of parturition (placenta, lochies, fetal membranes and amniotic fluid) during normal delivery and abortions [8,9], as well as through milk, urine, feces and semen [7,10,11]. The bacterium has the ability to resist difficult environmental conditions, probably due to the existence of small dense cell variants [3].

The transmission of infection between ruminants and to humans mainly occurs through the inhalation of contaminated aerosols [12], but may also occur via the digestive tract and tick vectors [13]. Sexual transmission has been demonstrated experimentally in mice [11] and found for a human couple, in whom the bacterium was detected by PCR (Polymerase Chain Reaction) in the man's sperm [14].

Coxiella burnetii was isolated from semen of naturally infected bulls [15]. Sexual transmission is strongly suspected in domestic ruminants but remains unproven. The vertical transmission route has been demonstrated in ruminants; *C. burnetii* is often found in fetal organs following an abortion or premature delivery [16,17].

Transmission by embryo transfer has been partially studied. In natural conditions, *C. burnetii* was identified with significant loads in the flushing media from the oviducts and the uterus of seropositive goats [18]. These results reveal the main source of *in utero* infection and indicate a risk factor for the transmission of *C. burnetii* during embryo transfer (ET). After experimental infection of *in vivo* derived goat embryos, the bacterium showed a strong tendency to adhere to the *zona pellicida* (ZP) and the washing procedure recommeanded by the International Embryo Transfer Society (IETS) failed to remove it [19]. It has been demonstrated that the ZP of *in vivo*-derived and *in vitro*-produced embryos are different, and the way embryos are produced modifies the interaction between ZP and various pathogens [20].

The distribution of the infection in small ruminants was well documented in 1982 in the south of France [21]. The last zoonotic episode in France was in the Drôme department in May 2014 with 46 confirmed human cases. The clinical signs in humans were pneumopathy. In livestock, some abortions occurred.

To investigate the risk of *C. burnetii* transmission via embryo transfer of *in vitro*-produced goat embryos, this study aims (i) to evaluate the ability of *C. burnetii* to adhere to the intact *zona pellicida* of *in vitro*-produced goat embryos and *to* determine by confocal microscopy the location of the bacteria, (ii) to test the efficacy of IETS-recommended rules for the washing of bovine embryos to eliminate *C. burnetii.*

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Coxiella burnetii strain

The *C. burnetii* strain CbC1phase I used in this study was originally isolated from the placenta of an aborted goat in a French herd (Allier, France). It was prepared and provided by IASP, INRA Tours, France. It had been isolated by intraperitoneal inoculation of three OF1 mice (8 weeks) with 0.2 ml of goat placenta homogenate. The mice were killed nine days post inoculation and their spleens were sampled and re-inoculated into specific pathogen-free embryonated hen eggs. After the 3rd passage in the chicken embryo, it was quantified, aliquoted and frozen at −80 °C. This preparation contained 10^{11} bacteria/ml. To ensure purity, each aliquot used for exposures was diluted with 10 ml PBS then centrifuged twice for 15 min at 2000 × g; the supernatant was recovered and centrifuged for 1 h at 13,000 × g. The pellet was diluted 1:100 in the exposure medium giving a final calculated concentration of 10^9 bacteria/ml.

2.2. In vitro production of embryos

Animal housing, care slaughtering as well as experiment and handling of fabrics complied with the regulations in France in accordance with EU Directive 2010/63/EU and with good laboratory practices.

2.2.1. Oocyte collection and maturation

Ovaries were collected from adult goats at a local slaughterhouse and transported within 2.5 h after collection to the laboratory at INRA Nouzilly in a sterile saline solution maintained at a temperature of 30 °C. Ovaries were washed in warm saline (30 °C) and oocytes were aspirated through a 18-1/2 gauge short bevelled needle from all visible follicles between 2 and 5 mm in diameter into a Falcon tube under gentle vacuum (30 mm Hg). The collection tube was filled in advence with 5 ml of tissue culture medium (TCM-199) supplemented with 100 IU/ml heparin, 40 μg/ml gentamicin and 10 mM Hepes. Only oocytes surrounded by multilayer unexpanded cumulus cells were used for *in vitro* maturation.

The cumulus oocyte complexes (COCs) were washed 12 times in TCM 199 supplemented with 40 μg/ml gentamicin, and then placed in 0.5 ml of maturation medium (TCM 199 supplemented with 10 ng/ml epidermal growth factor (EGF) and 100 μM cysteamine) in plastic 4-well Petri dishes (Nunc, Roskilde, Denmark), each well containing 20–30 COCs. COCs were then incubated for 24 h at 38.5 °C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO_2 in the air. The cumulus oophorus was completely removed by gentle pipetting and the oocytes were washed 12 times in fertilization medium (synthetic oviduct fluid (SOF), without BSA, but supplemented with 40 μg/ml gentamicin and 10% heat-inactivated estrous sheep serum). Only oocytes with intact *zona pellucida* and without cumulus cells were kept for *in vitro* fertilization (IVF).

2.2.2. Semen collection and preparation

Semen was collected from two bucks during the breeding season using an artificial vagina and was pooled. Two straws of frozen semen were thawed for each IVF trial.

Motile spermatozoa were separated by centrifugation (10 min, 900 g) on 2 ml of Percoll (Pharmacia, Uppsala, Sweden) discontinuous density gradient (45/90%). The supernatant was discarded, and the sperm pellet was re-suspended in 2 ml of SOF without BSA but supplemented with 40 μg/ml gentamicin and 10 mM Hepes, and centrifuged (5 min, 900 g). The supernatant was discarded and viable spermatozoa were diluted in the appropriate volume of fertilization medium to achieve a final concentration of 1.10^7 spz/ml. Then the medium was incubated for 30 min at 38.5 °C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO_2 in the air to allow capacitation.

2.2.3. In vitro fertilization (IVF) - in vitro culture (IVC)

Groups of 20–30 oocytes were transferred to 4-well Petri dishes containing 450 μl of fertilization medium, with one oocyte-group for each spermatozoa-group. Capacitated sperm (50 μl) were added to the fertilization wells to give a final concentration of 1.10^6 spz/ml. Finally, spermatozoa and oocytes were co-incubated for 18 h at 38.5 °C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO_2 in the air. The zygotes then were washed 12 times in the culture medium (SOF with 3 mg/ml BSA) to remove spermatozoa before being transferred to 4-well Petri dishes containing 25 μl of culture medium and covered with 700 μl of mineral oil. The zygotes were incubated for six days at 38.5 °C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% O_2, 5% CO_2, and 90% N_2. After 48 h post-insemination, 10% (v/v) fetal calf serum (FCS) was added to the culture droplets. Four days after fertilization the embryos were transported to Oniris in tubes of 15 ml of culture medium at an ambient temperature. Only developed embryos, with 8 to 16-cells and an intact ZP, were selected using binocular microscope observation.

2.3. Experimental design

Four days after the IVF, 100 caprine embryos were randomly divided into 11 batches of eight to nine embryos.

Nine batches were placed in 1 ml of minimum essential medium (M2414, Sigma, France) supplemented with 10% FCS, 1% L-glutamine (2 mM final), 1% HEPES (0.01 M final), 2.5 μg/ml^{-1} Amphotericin B and 50 mg/ml Gentamycin and containing 10^9 *Coxiella*/ml of CbB1 strain (IASP, INRA Tours). After incubation for 18 h at 37 °C in an atmosphere of 5% CO2, the embryos were recovered and washed in batches in 10 successive baths of a phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and 5% FCS following the IETS guidelines.

After incubating for 18 h at 37 °C, in an atmosphere of 5% CO2, the embryos were collected by batches and washed, through 10 successive washes in PBS, with 5% fetal calf serum, following the IETS guidelines [22]. A new sterile pipette was used for each successive wash; each wash corresponded to a dilution of 1:100 of the previous medium.

In parallel, two batches of embryos were subjected to similar procedures but without exposure to *C. burnetii* to serve as a control group.

One of the nine batches of infected embryos and one of the two non-infected control batches were separated for immunolabeling with the aim to locate the bacteria.

For the other nine batches of embryos (eight infected batches and one non-infected batch) the 10 wash baths were collected separately and centrifuged for 1 h at 13,000 × g. The washed embryos and the pellets of the 10 centrifuged wash baths were frozen at -20 °C prior to examination for evidence of *C. burnetii* using PCR.

2.4. Conventional PCR (C-PCR) procedure

DNA was extracted from the batches of embryos and the wash bath pellets using a "QIAamp Blood and Body Fluid Kit® Qiagen-France" in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.

The detection of *Coxiella*-DNA was performed by amplifying a DNA fragment (337 pb) located in the transposon-like repetitive region (*IS1111*) gene, which is present in multiple copies in the *C. burnetii* genome, using two primers: Trans B: 5′- CAAGAATGATCGTAACGATGCGC - 3' (349-371) bp, and Trans M: 5′-CTCGTAATCACCAATCGCTTCG - 3' (664-685 bp) (IASP, INRA, Tours, France). Three μl of extracted DNA were added to 22 μl of amplification solution. The latter contained 5 μl of ready-to-use solution containing all reagents required for PCR: HOT FIREPol® DNA polymerase, Proofreading enzyme, 5× Blend Master Mix Buffer, 7.5 mM $MgCl_2$, 2 mM dNTPs of each, BSA, Blue dye, Yellow dye, and a compound to increase sample density for direct loading (Solis BioDyne, Estonia), 0.75 μl of both Trans B and Trans M primer (20 μM Eurofins MWG Operon, Ebersberg, Germany), and 15.5 μl of distilled water DNase-RNase Free.

Amplification was performed in a thermal cycler (Mastercycler® Eppendorf) based on the following program: after initial denaturation at 94 °C for 10 min, the samples were subjected to a series of 35 cycles of 30 s denaturation at 94 °C, a 1 min hybridization at 63 °C, and a 3 min elongation phase at 72 °C. This was followed by a final elongation phase at 74 °C for 10 min. Products were visualized by electrophoresis on 1.5% agarose gel. A positive control of *C. burnetii* (IASP, INRA, Tours, France) and a negative control (distilled water) were performed. Samples analyzed for *C. burnetii*-DNA using PCR were considered positive when a band of 337 bp, corresponding to the positive control, was visualized on agarose gel electrophoresis under UV light. The sensitivity of this PCR method has been proven in our laboratory (SSBR, Oniris, France); it detects 10 bacteria per ml of bacterial suspension (data not shown).

2.5. Real-time PCR (RT-PCR) procedure

Real-time PCR (RT-PCR) was used to amplify a DNA fragment of 76 bp from the *icd* gene (isocitrate dehydrogenase), of which there is only one copy in the *C. burnetii* genome. The following primers were used: forward, icd-439F: CGT TAT TTT ACG GGT GTG CCA (439-459) and reverse, icd-514R: CAG AAT TTT CGC GGA AAA TCA (494-514), with a TaqMan probe icd-464TM: FAM-CAT ATT CAC CTT TTC AGG CGT TTT GAC CGT-TAMRA-T (464-492).

DNase RNase-free water was used as a negative control. Standard series containing: 2.10^3, 2.10^4, 2.10^5, 2.10^6, 2.10^7 *C. burnetii*/ml (IASP, INRA, Tours, France) were extracted using the QIAamp DNA mini kit® (Qiagen-France) and used as a positive control. Five microliters of extracted DNA were added to 20 μl of RT-PCR reaction mix. The latter was composed of 12.5 μl TaqMan® Universal Master Mix II (Applied Biosystems, USA), 2.5 μl of a mixture of forward and reverse primers (0.3 μM Eurofins MWG Operon, Ebersberg, Germany), 0.25 μl TaqMan probe (50 nM Eurofins MWG Operon, Ebersberg, Germany). Water was added to make a final volume of 20 μl. All RT-PCR reactions were performed in duplicate in an ABIPRISM® Sequence Detection System 7300 (Applied Biosystems) as follows: after 2 min at 50 °C and 10 min at 95 °C, the samples were subjected to a series of 40 cycles comprising 15 s at 95 °C and 30 s at 60 °C. Data were analyzed with the corresponding software. The *C. burnetii* titers in the samples were calculated in comparison with a standard curve obtained from a standard serial dilution of the bacteria.

2.6. Immunolabeling and confocal microscopy

The detection of *C. burnetii* was made using immunofluorescence labeling and analyzed by confocal microscopy (microscope C1, Nikon, Champigny, France).

2.6.1. Immunolabeling

Initially the infected and non-infected embryos were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 1 h at room temperature. They then were washed three times in phosphate buffered-saline (PBS) containing 0.2% PVP (polyvinylpyrrolidone) and transferred in a solution of PBS supplemented with 10% FCS (Fetal Calf Serum) and 0.2% Triton X-100 (Solution 1) for 1 h at room temperature.

After a first blocking step consistint of an incubation of 30 mn with a blocking buffer at room temperature (5% of normal donkey serum diluted in phosphate-buffered saline), the embryos were incubated 1 h at 37 °C with the primary antibody, a rat polyclonat anti CbC1 prepared in our laboratory.

After three careful washings in PBS buffer, the embryos were incubated for 1 h at 37 °C with the secondary antibody, Alexa fluor 488, donkey anti-rat serum (A 21208- Invitrogen), diluted at 1/400.

After washing twice in PBS-PVP, labeled embryos were fixed with PFA 4% for 15 min.

Infected and non-infected embryos were labeled by a CbC1 non-immune serum, to control the specificity of the immunolabeling. Immunolabeled samples were mounted in Lab-Tek chambered coverglasses in PBS-PVP to preserve their structure.

2.6.2. Confocal microscopy

Confocal imaging was performed on an inverted Nikon TE-2000 laser scanning confocal microscope C1 equipped with a 488 nm argon laser and a 633 nm HeNE laser (Nikon, Champigny France). Z stacks were performed throughout the thickness of the embryos. 3D reconstructions were made from stacks using Fiji software.

Table 1
Detection of *Coxiella burnetii (C. burnetii)* in successive embryo wash baths and batches of infected *zona pellucida*-intact 8 to 16 cell embryos after 10 wash cycles, using C-PCR and quantification of *Coxiella burnetii* in embryo exposure baths and in batches of infected *zona pellucida*-intact 8 to 16 cell embryos by RT-PCR.

Batches of embryos	Exposure bath (*Coxiella*/ml) (RT-PCR)	Last positive wash for *C. burnetii* (C-PCR)	Batch of embryos After 10 wash baths	
			Detection of *C. burnetii* (C-PCR)	Quantification of *C. burnetii* (RT-PCR)
1	3.5×10^8	6	Positive	2.3×10^3
2	3.5×10^8	8	Positive	3.4×10^3
3	3.5×10^8	6	Positive	1.2×10^3
4	3.6×10^8	5	Positive	4.5×10^3
5	3.6×10^8	7	Positive	2.6×10^3
6	3.6×10^8	10	Positive	1.8×10^3
7	3.6×10^8	5	Positive	5.6×10^3
8	3.6×10^8	10	Positive	3.2×10^3

3. Results

C. burnetii DNA was detected by C-PCR in all eight batches of infected ZP-intact embryos after 10 successive washings, following the IETS protoco1 (Table 1). However, bacterial DNA was not detected in the embryo control batches. The first five washing media of the infected group were consistently found to be positive and Coxiella DNA was detected in the wash bath up to the 10th wash for two batches.

All of the exposure baths and, after the 10 wash cycles, the batches of embryos were tested using RT-PCR to quantify the bacterial load. The bacterial load in the exposure baths ranged from 3.5 to 3.6×10^8 bacteria/ml with an average of $3.55 \pm 0.05 \times 10^8$ bacteria/ml. The bacterial load for embryos after the 10 wash baths was less than 10^4 bacteria/ml (Table 1).

After immunolabeling, the observation of embryos under confocal microscopy allowed C. *burnetti* to be found against the external part of the *zona pellucida* without deep penetration (Fig. 1). The presence of *C. burnetii* was seen on the surface of the *zona pellucida*, with bacterial loads differing from one embryo to another in the same batch.

4. Discussion

This study clearly demonstrates that *C. burnetii* stick strongly to the *zona pellucida* of *in vitro*-produced caprine embryos after



Figure 1. Immunofluorescent detection of *Coxiella burnetii in vitro* produced goat embryos after *in vitro* infection with 109 *C. burnetii*/ml for 18 h.
Coxiella burnetii was localised at the surface of the embryo, in the external part of the *zona pellucida* of the contaminated caprine embryos without deep penetration.
A - Negative control: A1 observation with brighfield micoscope; A2: observation with confocal microscope without primary antibody. B - Coxiella detection: B1 observation with brightfield microscopem. B2: observation confocal microscope after immunolabelling. Observation at objectif 60 × 2. Scale bar, 10 μm.

in vitro contamination. Furthermore, the routine procedures proposed by IETS are not effective for removing the bacteria from ZP-intact caprine embryos derived *in vivo* and infected *in vitro*. For two batches of embryos, all 10 wash baths were positive for *C. burnetii*, suggesting that a huge quantity of bacteria were attached to the *zona pellucida* and were progressively released.

Recently, we demonstrated that *C. burnetii* binds strongly to the ZP of *in vivo*-derived goat embryos after *in vitro* infection [19] and to ZP of *in vitro*-produced bovine embryos after *in vitro* infection [23]. The ZP of intra-follicular oocytes appears to differ from that of ovulated ova; as a consequence ZP from *in vitro*-produced embryos cannot interact with infectious agents in the same way as those of embryos that are produced *in vivo* [24,25]. It is therefore inadvisable to apply the same sanitary guidelines for *in vitro*-produced embryos as those recommended for *in vivo*-produced embryos [26]. It was shown that the use of *in vitro*-produced embryos increases the probability of adhesion of a number of pathogenic agents to the ZP of these embryos [20,27].

In order to see and locate the bacteria on the contaminated caprine embryos, we used immunofluorescence techniques. The observation, by confocal microscopy, of the contaminated caprine embryos demonstrated that *C. burnetii* were present, with different bacterial loads, in the external part of the ZP without deap penetration.

This fixation may be due to interaction between lipopolysaccharide and membrane proteins of *C. burnetii* and proteins on the surface of the ZP [3]. The difference of bacterial load may be due to differences in the ultrastructure of the ZP that should be studied further by electron microscopy [27]. At the embryo cell level, this experiment did not directly examine the potential existence of receptors but suggests an unknown strong adherence. Purification of the inoculum by dilution and differential centrifugation allowed us to eliminate the role of egg protein [28]; the inoculum used in this study was ovoculture-*Coxiella*. *C. burnetii* is pleomorphic with approximate dimensions of 0.3 by 1 μm and an envelope similar to that of gram-negative bacteria. It has two phases: I and II; this phase variation is due to differences in surface antigens [28]. Phase I *Coxiella* have LPS that completely hide the surface proteins of the external membrane. The role of this LPS in the attachment of ZP is highly plausible [29].

The *zona pellucida* of mammalian embryos is made of three glycoproteins (ZP1, ZP2 and ZP3), building a loose network and presenting on the surface a large number of canalicular pores [30]. The dimension of these pores, for example 182 nm for bovine embryos, influences the fixation and penetration of small size pathogenic agents [31]. Despite the presence of these pores, we demonstrate here that *C. burnetii* is present in the external part of the ZP without deap penetration. This property should permit the use of an enzymatic treatment to eliminate these bacteria on the surface of the embryo.

The efficacy of the ZP as a barrier to different pathogenic agents has been demonstrated for a number of agents. However, some of these pathogenic agents are able to penetrate the ZP or stick firmly to the surface and then resist washings [20].

In previous studies of bovine embryos exposed *in vitro* to *Mycoplasma bovis, Mycoplasma bovigenitalium, Mycobacterium avium,* and after carrying out the washing procedures, the bacteria were isolated from all of the ZP-intact embryo batches [32–34]. In other studies, the interaction between *Leptospira hardjobovis* and *in vitro*-produced bovine embryos after an *in vitro* infection, and after the IETS washing procedures, was examined with electronic microscopy transmission. The presence of the bacteria was observed on the surface, in the pores of the ZP, in the intercellular spaces, on the vitellin and in the embryonic cells [27]. Other studies of mice infected *in vitro* with *C. burnetii* proposed the existence of specific receptors on the head of spermatozoids that fixed the bacteria [11,15]. These studies showed clearly that adherence to the ZP depends on the structure of the ZP and on the outer membrane of the bacteria, and that transmission by embryo transfer is possible.

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that *C. burnetii* stick strongly to the external part of the ZP of *in vitro* produced caprine embryos without deap penetration. The ten washings protocol recommended by IETS [26] to eliminate the pathogenic agents of bovine embryos is unable to eliminate these bacteria. The presence of *C. burnetii* was observed on the surface of the ZP, with the bacterial load differing from one embryo to another in the same batch. This difference of load may be due to the ZP ultrastructure which would be interesting to analyse in the future by electron microscopy. Nevertheless, the finding of *C. burnetii* DNA by C-PCR does not imply that the bacteria found are still infective.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Véronique Blouin, Sylvie Saleun and the entire technical service from UMR649 Institut de Recherche Thérapeutique - IRT1- INSERM, 8 Quai Moncousu BP 70721-44007 Nantes cedex 01, France. The confocal microscopy analysis was performed from the fluorescence bio-imaging expertise of the APEX platform UMR703 INRA Oniris, Nantes.

References

[1] Stein A, Saunders NA, Taylor AG, Raoult D. Phylogenic homogeneity of *Coxiella burnetii* strains as determinated by 16S ribosomal RNA sequencing. FEMS Microbiol Lett 1993;113:339–44.
[2] Babudieri BQ. fever: a zoonosis. Adv Vet Sci 1959;5:81.
[3] Maurin M, Raoult D. Q fever. Clin Microbiol Rev 1999;12:518–53.
[4] Lang GH. Coxiellosis. Q fever in animals. In: Marrie TJ, editor. Q fever: the Disease, vol 1. Boca Raton FL: CRC Press; 1990. p. 24–42.
[5] Raoult D, Marrie T. Q fever. Clin Infect Dis 1995;20:489–96.
[6] Berri M, Rousset E, Champion JL, Russo P, Rodolakis A. Goats may experience reproductive failures and shed *Coxiella burnetii* at two successive parturitions after a Q fever infection. Res Vet Sci 2007;83:47–52.
[7] Berri M, Crochet D, Santiago S, Rodolakis A. Spread of *Coxiella burnetii* in a flock of sheep after an episode of Q fever. Veterinary Rec 2005;157:737–40.
[8] Rousset E, Russo P, Pépin M, Raoult D. La fièvre Q : une zoonose encore mystérieuse. Bull GTV 2000;7:139–43.
[9] Arricau-Bouvery N, Souriau A, Lechopier P, Rodolakis A. Experimental *Coxiella burnetii* infection in pregnant goats: excretion routes. Vet Res 2003;34: 423–33.
[10] Rousset E, Berri M, Durand B, Dufour P, Prigent M, Delcroix T, et al. *Coxiella burnetii* shedding routes and antibody response after outbreaks??????fever-induced abortion in dairy goat herds. Appl Environ Microbiol 2009;75: 428–33.
[11] Kruszewska D, Tylewska-Wierzbanowska S. *Coxiella burnetii* penetration into the reproductive system of male mice, promoting the sexual transmission of infection. Infect Immunity 1993;10:4188–95.
[12] Stein A, Raoult D. Q fever during pregnancy: a public health problem in southern France. Clin Infect Dis 1998;27:592–6.
[13] Rodolakis A. Q fever in dairy animals. Ann N. Y Acad Sci 2009;1166:90–3.
[14] Milazzo A, Hall R, Storm PA, Harris RJ, Winslow W, Marmion BP. Sexually transmitted Q fever. Clin Infect Dis 2001;33:399–402.
[15] Kruszewska D, Tylewska-Wierzbanowska S. Isolation of *Coxiella burnetii* from bull semen. Res Vet Sci 1997;62:299–300.
[16] Sanford E, Josephson G, Macdonald A. *Coxiella burnetii* (Q fever) abortion storms in goat herds after attendance at an annual fair. Can Vet J 1994;35: 376–8.
[17] Rousset E, Russo P, Pépin M, Raoult D. Epidémiologie de la fièvre Q animale. Situation en France. Med Mal Infect 2001;31:233–46.
[18] Alsaleh A, Pellerin JL, Rodolakis A, Larrat M, Cochonneau D, Bruyas JF, et al. Detection of *Coxiella burnetii*, the agent of Q fever, in oviducts and uterine flushing media and in genital tract tissues of the non-pregnant goat. Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis 2011;34:355–60.
[19] Alsaleh A, Fieni F, Rodolakis A, Bruyas JF, Roux C, Larrat M, et al. Can Coxiella burnetii be transmitted by embryo transfer in goats? Theriogenology 2013;80:571–5.
[20] Bielanski A. A review on disease transmission studies in relationship to production of embryos by *in vitro* fertilization and to related new reproductive technologies. Biotechnol Adv 1997;15:633–56.
[21] Quignard H, Geral MF, Pellerin JL, Milon A, Lautie R. La fièvre Q chez les petits ruminants : etude épidémiologique dans la région Midi-Pyrénées. Rev Med

Vet 1982;133:413–22.

[22] Stringfellow DA. Recommandations for the sanitary handling of *in vivo* derived embryos. In: Stringfellow DA, Seidel SM, editors. Manual of the international embryo transfer society (IETS); 1998. p. 79–84.

[23] Alsaleh A, Fieni F, Moreno D, Rousset E, Tainturier D, Bruyas JF, et al. Risk of Coxiella burnetii transmission via embryo transfer using in vitro early bovine embryos. Theriogenology 2014;81:849–53.

[24] Riddell KP, Stringfellow DA, Gray BW, Riddell MG, Wright JC, Galik PK. Structural and viral association comparisons of bovine zonae pellucidae from follicular oocytes, day-7 embryos and day-7 degenerated ova. Theriogenology 1993;40:1281–91.

[25] Bercegeay S, Allaire F, Jean M, Hermite AL, Bruyas JF, Renard N, et al. La zone pellucide bovine: différences de composition macromoléculaire entre ovocytes, prétraités ou non à l'A23187, et embryons. Reprod Nutr Dev 1993;33:567–76.

[26] Nibart M, Marquant-Le Guienne Humnlot P. General sanitary procedures associated with in vitro produced embryos. In: Stringfellow DA, Seidel SM, editors. Manual of the international embryo transfer society. 3rd. Edition. Champaign, IL: IETS. Illinois; 1998. USA.

[27] Bielanski A, Surujballi O. *Leptospira borgpetersenii* serovar hardjo type hardjobovis in bovine embryos fertilized *in vitro*. Can J Vet Res 1998;62:234–6.

[28] Williams JC, Marius G, Thomas FM. Immunological and biological characterization of *Coxiella burnetii*, Phases I and II, Separated from Host Components. Infect Immun 1981;32:840–51.

[29] Maurin M, Raoult D. Q fever. Clin Microbiol Rev 1999;12:518–53.

[30] Dudkiewicz A, Williams W. Fine structural observations of the mammalian zona pellucida by scanning electron microscopy. Scanning electron Microsc 1977;2:317–24.

[31] Vanroose G, Nauwynck H, Van Soom A, Ysebaert MT, Charlier G, Van Oostveldt P, et al. Structural aspects of the Zona Pellucida of *in vitro*-produced bovine embryos : a scanning Electron and Confocal Laser Scanning microscopic study. Biol Reprod 2000;62:463–9.

[32] Bielanski A, Devenish J, Phipps-Todd B. Effect of *Mycoplasma bovis* and *Mycoplasma bovigenitalium* in semen on fertilization and association with *in vitro* produced morula and blastocyst stage embryos. Theriogenology 2005;53:1213–23.

[33] Bielanski A, Algire J, Randall GCB, Surujballi O. Risk of transmission of *Mycobacterium avium ssp. paratuberculosis* by embryo transfer of *in vivo* and *in vitro* fertilized bovine embryos. Theriogenology 2006;66:260–6.

[34] Riddell KP, Stringfellow DA, Panangala VS. Interaction of *Mycoplasma bovis* and *Mycoplasma bovigenitalium* with preimplantation bovine embryos. Theriogenology 1989;32:633–41.